Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/journal/03088146)

Food Chemistry

journal homepage: [www.elsevier.com/locate/foodchem](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/foodchem)

# Responses of clonal tea to location of production and plucking intervals

# P. Okinda Owuor <sup>a,</sup>\*, David M. Kamau <sup>b</sup>, Erick O. Jondiko <sup>a</sup>

 $a<sup>a</sup>$  Department of Chemistry, Maseno University, PO Box 333 – 40105, Maseno, Kenya b Department of Chemistry, Tea Research Foundation of Kenya, PO Box 820 - 20200, Kericho, Kenya

# article info

# **ABSTRACT**

Article history: Received 24 September 2008 Received in revised form 9 October 2008 Accepted 20 November 2008

Keywords: Camellia sinensis Yields **Quality** Area of production Harvesting intervals Kenya

Tea is cultivated in diverse environments causing yield and quality differences in its beverages. To maximise yields and quality, agronomic practices should be optimised. But agronomic recommendations are uniform in different environments in Kenya despite variations in the growth factors responsible for changes in yields and quality. Plucking is labour intensive and a costly agronomic input in tea production. Trials were conducted for ten years in five tea growing regions in Kenya to assess yields and quality responses of clone BBK 35 tea to location of production and harvesting intervals. There were different ( $P \le 0.05$ ) yields in the various locations, with significant ( $P \le 0.05$ ) interaction effects between locations and harvesting intervals. Yields declined in three out of five regions but increased in one location with longer plucking intervals. Yields in a geographical area of production can not be used to estimate its expected yields in other tea growing areas. Black tea theaflavins varied significantly ( $P \le 0.05$ ) with geographical area of production, but other plain tea quality parameters did not vary with location. It is therefore possible to make similar black teas with similar plain tea quality parameters except theaflavins from all tea growing regions of Kenya. Tea quality improved with short plucking rounds. One way to maximise tea quality is through shortening harvesting intervals. It is necessary to establish optimal harvesting rounds in the different tea growing regions in Kenya to maximise yields and quality.

- 2008 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

# 1. Introduction

Tea, Camellia sinensis (L.) O. Kuntze is cultivated under diverse environments ranging from  $49^{\circ}$ N, outer Carpathians to 30 $^{\circ}$ S, Natal, South Africa ([Shoubo, 1989\)](#page-6-0) and altitudes varying from sea level in Japan to above 2700 m above mean sea level (amsl) in Olenguruone, Kenya and Gisovu, Rwanda ([Owuor, Obanda, Nyirenda, &](#page-5-0) [Mandala, 2008a\)](#page-5-0). In Kenya, it is grown on the foothills of Abardare ranges and Mount Kenya in the East of the Great Rift Valley and the Mau ranges, Nandi, Kisii and Kakamega Hills in the West of the Great Rift Valley of Kenya. The plant is widely adaptable to geographical areas with large differences in climate and physical features which affect rates of growth, leading to yields and quality variations. Such variations may require different management strategies to maximise yields and quality. Previous studies demonstrated wide responses in yields of tea genotypes to different environments [\(Wachira, Ng'etich, Omolo, & Mamati, 2002;](#page-6-0) [Wickremaratne, 1981\)](#page-6-0). Quality variations have also been recorded due to environmental factors ([Gulati & Ravichranath, 1996](#page-5-0)) and geographical area of production [\(Borse, Rao, Nagalakshmi, & Krish](#page-5-0)[namurthy, 2002; Fernandez, Fernando, Martin, & Gonzalez, 2002;](#page-5-0) [Li, Yu, Li, & Li, 2007; Moreda-Pineiro, Fisher, & Hill, 2003; Owuor](#page-5-0) [et al., 2008a; Peterson et al., 2004](#page-5-0)). Where data has been generated in different countries, comparisons of the results have been confounded by the use of different genotypes. Despite the noted differences in yields and quality due to growth factors, agronomic recommendations are usually uniform within one country or region [\(Othieno, 1988](#page-5-0)). In some instances the agronomic recommendations have been used across borders without any modifications despite the variations in tea growth parameters.

One agronomic practice recommended across borders is plucking intervals. The process of harvesting the young tender shoots to make tea beverages is labour intensive and costly [\(Ellis](#page-5-0) [& Grice, 1981](#page-5-0)). In India, for example, plucking constitutes up to 70% of the total costs of field operations ([Sharma, 1987; Sharma,](#page-6-0) [Harida, & Venkataram, 1981\)](#page-6-0). Similar high costs are being recorded in other tea growing countries. Despite the high costs, the undertaking is indispensable, and if incorrectly practiced it reduces tea yields [\(Cloughley, 1983; Grice, 1982](#page-5-0)) and/or quality ([Owuor & Othieno, 1996](#page-6-0)). The process needs to be optimised for realisation of high yields and quality. This paper evaluates the changes in yields and plain tea quality parameters of one clonal tea grown in different major tea growing areas in Kenya, due to plucking intervals.

Previous studies used to formulate plucking policies, especially intervals were conducted at single sites. It has been assumed that





<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +254 722789005.

E-mail addresses: [owuorpo@africaonline.co.ke](mailto:owuorpo@africaonline.co.ke), [okindaowuor@maseno.ac.ke](mailto:okindaowuor@maseno.ac.ke) (P.O. Owuor).

<sup>0308-8146/\$ -</sup> see front matter © 2008 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.foodchem.2008.11.073

<span id="page-1-0"></span>results from these single sites will be replicated in other tea growing regions within one country or even across borders. In a recent study, [Wachira et al. \(2002\)](#page-6-0) demonstrated that yield responses of tea genotypes can vary widely within Kenya. The results suggested that the recommended production policies even within one country [\(Othieno, 1988](#page-5-0)) could be inaccurate for some tea growing areas. The recommended plucking interval in Kenya varies from 7 to 14 days ([Othieno, 1988](#page-5-0)). Short plucking intervals remove the leaves when the pluckable shoots are still young and are mostly two leaves and a bud [\(Odhiambo, 1988; Owuor & Odhiambo, 1994\)](#page-5-0), thus reduces ''breaking-back" [\(Mwakha & Anyuka, 1984](#page-5-0)), a process that reduces yields, but improves quality ([Owuor, Ng'etich, &](#page-5-0) [Obanda, 2000](#page-5-0)). However, many commercial growers harvest all the available leaf to minimise yield losses. This study evaluated the variations in yields and plain tea quality parameters due to geographical area of production and harvesting intervals using one tea genotype under uniform management.

#### 2. Materials and methods

Trials were laid out in five main tea growing regions of Kenya at Karirana (altitude 2260 m amsl, latitude 1° 6'S, longitude 36° 39'E), Timbilil (altitude 2180 m amsl, latitude 0 $^{\circ}$  22'S, longitude 35 $^{\circ}$ 21'E), Changoi (altitude 1860 m amsl, latitude  $0^{\circ}$  29'S, longitude 35 $\degree$  14'E), Sotik Highlands (altitude 1800 m amsl, latitude 0 $\degree$  35'S, longitude 35 $\degree$  5'E) and Kipkebe (altitude 1800 m amsl, latitude 0 $\degree$ 41'S, longitude  $35^{\circ}$  5'E). Clone BBK 35 plantations that had been uniformly managed and with known past cultivation histories, were selected from each site. The trials were laid out in a randomised complete block design with three plucking frequencies (7, 14 and 21 days round) replicated three times at each site. Each plot consisted of 60 tea bushes arranged in  $6 \times 10$  bushes and each effective plot was surrounded by a line of tea bushes that served as a guard row and received 150 kg N per hectare<sup>-1</sup> year<sup>-1</sup> as NPKS 25:5:5:5 in November every year from 1997. The plots were uniformly managed in terms of harvesting and fertiliser application and were pruned every four years. Prior to the trials, all the plots were receiving 150 kg N hectare<sup>-1</sup> year<sup>-1</sup>. The experimental recording commenced in November 1997. Plucking was done in different plots as per the treatments, i.e. after every 7, 14 and 21 days depending on the plot. Green leaf produced per plot was converted to made tea (mt) per hectare ([Othieno, 1988](#page-5-0)).

In 2007, one kilogram of leaf was plucked from each plot and processed by the miniature CTC method. The leaves were withered for 12–16 h and macerated four times on a miniature CTC machine followed by fermentation for 90 min at  $26-28$  °C before firing using a miniature tea dryer (TeaCraft). The unsorted black teas were subjected to plain tea quality parameters chemical analysis and sensory evaluations. The total theaflavins were analysed by the Flavognost method [\(Hilton, 1973](#page-5-0)), whilst thearubigins, brightness and total colour were determined by the methods of [Roberts](#page-6-0) [and Smith \(1963\).](#page-6-0) Sensory evaluations were done by two professional tea tasters at tea broking firms in Mombasa, Kenya, and were based on briskness, brightness, colour, thickness and infusion on scale of 0–20 and 0–10 for each item for Taster A and B, respectively.

Table 1 Effects of geographical area of production and plucking intervals on the yields of clone BBK 35 (1998–2002).

Year	Plucking round (days)	Site	Mean plucking round				
		Kipkebe	Sotik Highlands	Karirana	Changoi	Timbilil	
1998	$7\overline{ }$ 14 21 Mean site CV(%) LSD, $(P \leq 0.05)$ Interactions	5394 5167 4853 5138	5681 5110 6297 5696	4371 4589 4354 4438 9.36 $61-$ <b>NS</b>	4468 4793 4484 4582	4801 4926 5405 5044	4943 4917 5079 <b>NS</b>
1999	$\overline{7}$ 14 21 Mean site CV(%) LSD, $(P \leq 0.05)$ Interactions	3840 3487 3335 3554	2828 2828 2399 2652	3511 2881 2765 3053 8.17 403 580	5053 5558 5078 5230	4334 4080 4628 4347	3913 3747 3641 <b>NS</b>
2000	$\overline{7}$ 14 21 Mean site CV(%) LSD, $(P \le 0.05)$ Interactions	1821 2021 1992 1944	5087 4302 4013 4467	2614 2334 1824 2257 11.47 532 765	5978 6125 7556 6553	2705 2200 2564 2490	3641 3397 3590 <b>NS</b>
2001	$\overline{7}$ 14 21 Mean site CV(%) LSD, $(P \leq 0.05)$ Interactions	4475 4336 4784 4532	6410 5876 5882 6056	2041 1656 1431 1709 9.89 547 787	5347 6135 6885 6122	2927 2402 2807 2712	4240 4081 4358 <b>NS</b>
2002	$\overline{7}$ 14 21 Mean site CV(%) LSD, $(P \leq 0.05)$ Interactions	3682 4032 2928 3547	4677 3829 3529 4012	3975 3020 2587 3194 8.66 418 601	4450 4900 4739 4696	3438 3081 2402 2974	4044 3773 3237 501

Data were analysed using randomised complete block design in a factorial 2 arrangement, with sites as the main treatments and plucking intervals as sub treatments. MSTAC statistical package was used to do the analyses.

# 3. Results and discussion

Clone BBK 35 is a popular commercial genotype widely cultivated in east African tea growing areas. The changes in clone BBK 35 yields at different sites from 1998 to 2007 due to plucking intervals of 7, 14 and 21 days are presented in [Tables 1 and 2](#page-1-0). The order of yield variations changed from year to year [\(Fig. 1](#page-3-0)), due to variations in environmental factors which control growth. Similar variations had been recorded in a 16 year study on seedling tea ([Othieno, Stephens, & Carr, 1992](#page-5-0)) and an 18 year study on clonal tea [\(Owuor, Othieno, Kamau, Wanyoko, & Ng'etich, 2008b\)](#page-6-0). Since there was no irrigation, environmental conditions governing growth including the amounts of rainfall, rainfall distribution and temperatures were not uniform at all sites [\(Othieno et al., 1992;](#page-5-0) [Stephens, Othieno, & Carr, 1992\)](#page-5-0). Despite the uniform management in terms of plucking and fertiliser applications, large variations were recorded from different sites and from year to year. The results demonstrate that production of tea in 1 year cannot be used to accurately estimate future production even at one site in a genotype under the same management.

One way of achieving high tea production is through growing cultivars with high yield potentials ([Owuor et al., 2008b;](#page-5-0) [Wachira](#page-6-0) [et al., 2002\)](#page-6-0). In most tea growing countries tea breeding and clonal selection programmes are usually concentrated at one site within a country and the results are assumed to be the same in other areas. A superior genotype at the selection site is expected to maintain its attributes within all tea growing areas especially when it is grown within one country where variations are considered minimal. The data presented here demonstrates that within Kenya, there are significant ( $P \le 0.05$ ) variations in yields even when one genotype is subjected to similar management in different regions. The yields at one site are not maintained or replicated in the other sites. High production genotypes should be tested in the intended areas of production before extensive plantation. This will identify geographical areas for which genotypes are most suited and help to produce high yields.

The yields varied ( $P \le 0.05$ ) with location of production in all the years of study. This was further reflected in the mean yield data ([Table 3](#page-3-0), [Fig. 2\)](#page-3-0). On average the order of yields was Changoi > Sotik Highlands > Kipkebe > Timbilil = Karirana. Yields at Karirana and Timbilil were lowest and not significantly different from each other. In one genotype grown within a radius of 10 km in Kenya, yield increased with a decrease in altitude ([Obaga, Squire, &](#page-5-0) [Lang'at, 1988; Squire, Obaga, & Othieno, 1993\)](#page-5-0). The altitudes from which the yields were recorded in this study were in the order: Karirana > Timbilil > Changoi > Sotik Highlands = Kipkebe. Although there was a general trend of a decrease in yield with altitude, the yield from Changoi did not follow this trend. These results indicate that apart from altitude and temperature, other environmental factors also contribute to the yields. Although all the tea growing areas in Kenya receive adequate rainfall for tea

#### Table 2

Effects of geographical area of production and plucking intervals on the yields of clone BBK 35 (2003–2007).

Year	Plucking round (days)	Site					Mean plucking round
		Kipkebe	Sotik Highlands	Karirana	Changoi	Timbilil	
2003	$7\overline{ }$ 14 21 Mean site CV(%) LSD, $(P \leqslant 0.05)$ Interactions	3003 3161 3433 3199	3222 3226 2985 3144	3977 3372 2686 3345 9.68 449 646	4793 5508 6011 5438	2990 2263 2566 2607	3597 3506 3536 <b>NS</b>
2004	$\overline{7}$ 14 21 Mean site CV(%) LSD, $(P \leqslant 0.05)$ Interactions	2475 2963 2991 2810	6675 6509 5880 6355	4322 3511 2817 3550 7.95 437 629	5313 6337 5499 5716	2677 2527 2526 2577	4292 4370 3943 425
2005	$\overline{7}$ 14 21 Mean site CV(%) LSD, $(P \leq 0.05)$ Interactions	3807 4356 3454 3872	6054 6316 5591 5987	5083 3371 2049 3501 12.68 748 1076	4461 5376 5600 5146	4241 4033 3827 4034	4729 4690 4104 598
2006	$\overline{7}$ 14 21 Mean site CV(%) LSD, $(P \leqslant 0.05)$ Interactions	3389 3708 3424 3507	5475 5048 6122 5548	2273 2804 2552 2543 8.67 404 582	3089 2770 2673 2844	2556 3192 2259 3367	3557 3504 3626 NS
2007	$\overline{7}$ 14 21 Mean site CV(%) LSD, $(P \leqslant 0.05)$ Interactions	3460 3778 3421 3553	5011 4527 4275 4604	4759 4875 4870 4835 7.22 413 594	4616 5150 5129 4965	4646 3532 4499 3892	4298 4372 4439 NS

<span id="page-3-0"></span>

Fig. 1. Effects of geographical area of production on tea yields in different years.

#### Table 3 Effects of geographical area of production and plucking intervals on ten year (1998– 2007) mean yields of clone BBK 35.





Fig. 2. Effects of area of production and plucking round on mean (1998–2006) yields of clone BB 35.

growing ([Othieno, 1988\)](#page-5-0), the amounts and distribution is usually not uniform ([Othieno et al., 1992; Stephens et al., 1992](#page-5-0)). It is recognised that the rainfall distribution may be more critical than the total rainfall per se ([Othieno, 1988](#page-5-0)). The rainfall amounts and distribution were not recorded in the present study but it is expected to vary from year to year and with locations as observed in the previous studies ([Othieno et al., 1992; Stephens et al., 1992\)](#page-5-0).

Intensity of harvesting is an important parameter in the realisation of high yields in tea production [\(Mouli, Onsando, & Corley,](#page-5-0) [2007](#page-5-0)). One way of ensuring high plucking intensity in tea production is through the use of short plucking intervals. Conflicting reports on yield responses to plucking intervals have been reported. Yields decreased in Malawi [\(Palmer-Jones, 1977; Tanton,](#page-6-0) [1979\)](#page-6-0), but increased in Kenya with short plucking intervals ([Od](#page-5-0)[hiambo, 1988; Owuor & Odhiambo, 1994\)](#page-5-0). The observed difference in yield response could be partially due to differences in the varieties or geographical area of production at which the studies were conducted. The responses of one genotype to plucking frequencies in the five major tea growing environments in Kenya were assessed over a period of 10 years in this study. The yields due to plucking intervals varied from site to site [\(Tables 1 and 2](#page-1-0), [Fig. 3](#page-4-0)). However, in the ten years of study, significant ( $P \le 0.05$ ) differences due to plucking intervals were observed in only 3 years. Thus, the effect of geographical area of production was more dominant than that of plucking intervals. At every site, there were also year to year variations in the yield responses to plucking intervals. Thus depending on the site and the year of measurement, conflicting results could be obtained ([Tables 1 and 2\)](#page-1-0). The conflicting responses observed in the previous studies [\(Odhiambo, 1988; Owuor & Od](#page-5-0)[hiambo, 1994; Palmer-Jones, 1977; Tanton, 1979](#page-5-0)) could in part be due to durations of recording since the yields were reported after short periods. However, tea is a perennial crop with a long life span. For such crops, recording of yields after short durations may not produce adequate data to draw any general conclusions from. The mean data for the ten years of experimentation is presented in Table 3 and Fig. 2. For the mean of the five sites, yields declined with long plucking intervals but this was not significant due to the conflicting responses as shown by the significant ( $P \le 0.05$ ) interaction effects between sites and plucking intervals (Table 3). Whereas there was yield increase with long plucking intervals in Changoi, in three sites (Sotik Highlands and Karirana) yields declined with short plucking intervals whilst in Kipkebe the order was 14 days > 7 days > 21 days. At Timbilil, there was also a general decline with short plucking intervals although the 14 days plucking intervals gave lowest yields. In a recent long term (18 years) trial [\(Owuor et al., in press\)](#page-5-0) at one site in Kenya, the yields of clone S15/10 changed with plucking intervals in the order 7 days > 21 days > 14 days, as was observed in the Timbilil data. These results indicate that the present uniform blanket recommendation of plucking interval throughout Kenya ([Othieno, 1988\)](#page-5-0) may in part be subjecting some areas to low production. Optimal plucking rounds for realisation of high yields should be established for different tea growing regions in Kenya.

World tea production has been higher than world tea demand ([Anon., 2007\)](#page-5-0), whilst costs of production have continued to rise ([Herath & Weersink, 2007\)](#page-5-0). As a result only producers of high qual-

<span id="page-4-0"></span>

Fig. 3. Changes in yields due to plucking frequency.

ity tea sell at reasonable prices [\(Anon, 2007\)](#page-5-0). It is necessary to balance benefits of quantity and quality in developing recommendations of agronomic practices. The agronomic practices that ensure high production should also produce black tea of good quality. The African black teas are classified as plain to medium flavoury. Such black teas' sell for their plain black tea quality parameters, i.e. theaflavins, thearubigins and caffeine. Theaflavins contribute to the astringency (briskness) and brightness whilst thearubigins contribute to the colour and thickness (mouth-feel) and caffeine is responsible for the stimulatory effects of black tea. Caffeine levels were not monitored in this study. The effects of the geographical area of production and plucking intervals on the plain black tea quality are presented in Table 4. Except for theaflavin levels, which significantly ( $P \le 0.05$ ) varied with geographical area of production, the other plain tea quality parameters did not significantly change. Thus it is possible to make black teas from BBK 35 with similar thearubigins, brightness and colour within tea growing regions of Kenya. Of the plain black tea quality parameters, the theaflavins have higher significance to quality since there are relationships between the theaflavins levels and quality ([Owuor et al., 2006; Wright, Mphangwe, Nyirenda, & Apostolides,](#page-5-0) [2002\)](#page-5-0). The theaflavins were in the order Changoi > Karirana > Timbilil > Kipkebe > Sotik Highlands. However, the most practical measure of black tea quality in tea trade is the use sensory evaluation technique. There were no significant variations in sensory evaluations (Table 4) due to geographical area of production which is

Table 4





<span id="page-5-0"></span>



similar to the results observed in thearubigins, brightness and total colour.

All plain tea quality parameters [\(Table 4\)](#page-4-0) and sensory evaluations (Table 5) significantly ( $P \le 0.05$ ) varied due to plucking intervals. Long plucking intervals reduced the total theaflavins, brightness and sensory evaluations but increased black tea thearubigins and total colour levels at all major tea producing areas in Kenya similar to reports in earlier studies using one genotype at a single site (Owuor & Odhiambo, 1994; Owuor, Odhiambo, Robinson, & Taylor, 1990; Owuor, Othieno, Odhiambo, & Ng'etich, 1997; Owuor et al., 2000). The results demonstrate that short plucking intervals ensure production of high quality tea. Thus, despite the conflicting results obtained on yields ([Tables 1 and 2](#page-1-0)), it is important to use short plucking intervals (Othieno, 1988) throughout Kenya to produce high quality plain black tea.

There were no significant interactions between the geographical area of production and plucking intervals in any of the quality parameters including sensory evaluations. This suggests that the pattern of the responses of the plain black tea quality parameters to plucking intervals were the same at all sites. Since plucking was unselective in the present study, the quality of the leaf obtained was dependent on the length of the harvesting intervals (Owuor et al., 2000; Owuor & Odhiambo, 1994). The decline of quality at all sites was therefore attributed to an increased maturity of the harvested leaf with long plucking intervals. However, better quality tea could still be obtained with long plucking intervals if plucking was selective (Owuor et al., 2000). This would lead to a yield reduction at longer plucking intervals than at shorter plucking intervals.

## 4. Conclusion

This study has demonstrated that although in one genotype the yield response patterns to plucking rounds vary from sites to site, quality declines with long plucking rounds irrespective of tea growing area in Kenya. Also despite using same plucking rounds, the yield of one genotype varied with geographical area of production. It is therefore necessary to evaluate optimal plucking intervals in different geographical areas of production that best balance yields and quality.

#### Acknowledgement

Financial support to complete chemical analyses in this work was received from the Inter-University Council of East Africa as VicRes Research Grant.

#### References

Anon. (2007). International Tea Committee. Annual Bulletin of statistics.

- Borse, B. B., Rao, L. J. M., Nagalakshmi, S., & Krishnamurthy, N. (2002). Fingerprint of black teas from India: Identification of the region-specific characteristics. Food Chemistry, 79, 419–424.
- Cloughley, J. B. (1983). Effect of harvesting policy and nitrogen rates on the production of tea in Central Africa. I. Yield and crop distribution. Experimental Agriculture, 19, 35–46.
- Ellis, R. T., & Grice, W. J. (1981). Fertilizer for 1981. Tea Research Foundation of Central Africa, Quarterly Newsletter, 61, 23.
- Fernandez, P. L., Fernando, P., Martin, J., & Gonzalez, A. G. (2002). Study of catechin and xanthine tea profiles as geographical tracers. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry, 50, 1833–1839.
- Grice, W. J. (1982). The effect of plucking round length on yield, shoot size and standard break back and made tea. Tea Research Foundation of Central Africa, Quarterly Newsletter, 65, 10–41.
- Gulati, A., & Ravichranath, S. D. (1996). Seasonal variations in quality of Kangra tea (Camellia sinensis (L.) O. Kuntze) in Himachal Pradesh. Journal of the Science of Food Agriculture, 71, 231–236.
- Herath, D., & Weersink, A. (2007). Peasants and plantations in the Sri Lanka tea sector: Causes of change in their relative viability. Australian Journal of Agricultural Resource Economics, 51, 73–89.
- Hilton, P. J. (1973). Tea. In F. D. Snell & L. S. Ettre (Eds.). Encyclopedia of industrial chemical analysis (Vol. 18, pp. 453–516). New York, USA: John Wiley.
- Li, T., Yu, L. J., Li, M. T., & Li, W. (2007). Comparative studies on the qualities of green teas in Karst and non-Karst areas of Yichang, Hubei Province, PR China. Food Chemistry, 103, 71–74.
- Moreda-Pineiro, A., Fisher, A., & Hill, S. J. (2003). The classification of tea according to region of origin using pattern recognition techniques and trace metal data. Journal of Food Composition and Analysis, 16, 195–211.
- Mouli, M. R. C., Onsando, J. M., & Corley, R. H. V. (2007). Intensity of harvesting in tea. Experimental Agriculture, 43, 41–50.
- Mwakha, E., & Anyuka, J. O. (1984). Effect of breaking-back and fertilizer on tea yields, plucking speed and table height. Tea, 5, 6–13.
- Obaga, S. O., Squire, G. R., & Lang'at, J. K. (1988). Altitude temperature and growth of tea shoots. Tea, 9(1), 28–33.
- Odhiambo, H. O. (1988). Nitrogen rates and plucking frequency on tea: The effect of plucking frequency and nitrogenous fertilizer rates on yields and yield components of tea (Camellia sinensis) in Kenya. Tea, 10, 90–96.
- Othieno, C. O. (1988). Summary of recommendations and observations from TRFK. Tea, 9, 50–65.
- Othieno, C. O., Stephens, W., & Carr, M. K. V. (1992). Yield variability at the Tea Research Foundation of Kenya. Agriculture and Forestry Meteorology, 61, 237–252.
- Owuor, P. O., Ng'etich, W. K., & Obanda, M. (2000). Quality response of clonal black tea to nitrogen fertilisers, plucking intervals and standards. Journal of the Science of Food Agriculture, 80, 439–446.
- Owuor, P. O., Obanda, M., Apostolides, Z., Wright, L. P., Nyirenda, H. E., & Mphangwe, N. I. K. (2006). The relationship between the chemical plain black tea quality parameters and black tea colour, brightness and sensory evaluation. Food Chemistry, 97, 644–653.
- Owuor, P. O., Obanda, M., Nyirenda, H. E., & Mandala, W. L. (2008). Influence of region of production on clonal black tea chemical characteristics. Food Chemistry, 108, 271–363.
- Owuor, P. O., & Odhiambo, H. O. (1994). Response of some black tea quality parameters to nitrogen fertiliser rates and plucking frequencies. Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture, 66, 555–561.
- Owuor, P. O., Odhiambo, H. O., Robinson, J. M., & Taylor, S. J. (1990). Chemical composition and quality of black tea Camellia sinensis (L.) variations due to plucking intervals. Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture, 52, 63–69.
- <span id="page-6-0"></span>Owuor, P. O., & Othieno, C. O. (1996). Optimising fertilizer application rates to
- different tea cultivars. Tropical Science, 36, 211–223. Owuor, P. O., Othieno, C. O., Kamau, D. M., Wanyoko, J. K., & Ng'etich, W. K. (2008b). Effects of long term fertilizer use on a high yielding tea clone S15/10: Yields. International Tea Journal, 2, 19–31.
- Owuor, P. O., Othieno, C. O., Odhiambo, H. O., & Ng'etich, W. K. (1997). Effect of fertiliser levels and plucking intervals of clonal tea (Camellia sinensis (L.) O. Kuntze). Tropical Agriculture, (Trinidad), 74, 184–191.
- Palmer-Jones, R. W. (1977). Effect of plucking policies on the yield of tea in Malawi. Experimental Agriculture, 13, 43–49.
- Peterson, J., Dwyer, J., Jacques, P., Rand, W., Prior, R., & Chui, K. (2004). Tea variety and brewing techniques influence flavonoid content of black tea. Journal of Food Composition and Analysis, 17, 397–405.
- Roberts, E. A. H., & Smith, R. F. (1963). Phenolic substances of manufactured tea. II, Spectroscopic evaluation of tea liquors. Journal of the Science of Food Agriculture,  $14.889 - 900$

Sharma, V. S. (1987). Harvest in tea. Planters Chronicle, 82(8), 26l–266.

Sharma, V. S., Harida, P., & Venkataram, K. S. (1981). Mechanisation of harvesting in tea. UPASI Tea Science Department, 37, l06–110.

- Shoubo, H. (1989). Meteorology of the tea plant in China. A review. Agriculture and Forestry Meteorology, 47, 19–30.
- Squire, G. R., Obaga, S. M., & Othieno, C. O. (1993). Altitude, temperature and shoot production of tea in Kenyan highlands. Experimental Agriculture, 29, 107–120.

Stephens, W., Othieno, C. O., & Carr, M. K. V. (1992). Climate and weather variability at the Tea Research Foundation of Kenya. Agriculture and Forestry Meteorology, 61, 219–235.

- Tanton, T. W. (1979). Some factors affecting the yield of tea. Experimental Agriculture, 15, 189–191.
- Wachira, F. N., Ng'etich, W., Omolo, J., & Mamati, G. (2002). Genotype x environment interactions for tea yields. Euphtica, 127, 289–296.
- Wickremaratne, M. T. (1981). Genotype-environment interactions in tea Camellia sinensis (L.) and their implications in tea breeding and selection. Journal of Agriculture Science, 28, 556–580.
- Wright, L. P., Mphangwe, N. I. K., Nyirenda, H. E., & Apostolides, Z. (2002). Analysis of the theaflavin composition in black tea (Camellia sinensis) for predicting the quality of tea produced in Central and Southern Africa. Journal of Science and Food Agriculture, 82, 517–525.